

School-based After-school Learning and Support Programmes 2023/24 s.y.

School-based Grant—Programme Report

Name of School: St. Rose of Lima's College

Staff-in-charge: Miss Cheung Pui Ka Phoebe _____ Contact Telephone No.: 23371867

A. The number of students (count by heads) benefitted under this Programme is 94 (including A. 18 Comprehensive Social Security Assistance (CSSA) recipients, B. 56 full grant recipients under the Student Financial Assistance (SFA) Schemes and C. 20 under school's discretionary quota).

B. Information on subsidised activities

*Name /Type of activity	Actual no. of participating eligible students #			Average attendance rate	Period/Date activity held	Actual expenses (\$)	Method(s) of evaluation (e.g. test, questionnaire, etc.)	Name of partner/ service provider (if applicable)	Remarks if any (e.g. students' learning and affective outcome)
	A	B	C						
Saturday Support Programme for Form 1 students	0	2	0	100%	September, 2023 to May, 2024	\$1163.8	Questionnaire through google form / Examination results / Observation from teachers	Tutors / NGOs / Social Workers	Meet the minimum requirement of admission to the university
Supplementary Classes	0	1	0	100%	September, 2023 to May, 2024	\$686	Examination results/ Observation from teachers	Past Students	
Dried Floral Workshop	5	13	3	80.95%	11 th March, 2024	\$14,700	Questionnaire	Mandy's Flower Workshop	Each student made a final product under the guidance of the tutors
Drone Making STEAM Workshop	7	8	2	47.06%	11 th December, 2023	\$19,900	Questionnaire	Sky Dream	Each student could get one set of drone to try
Disney Youth Education Series	6	17	2	84%	12 th April, 2024	\$21,780	Questionnaire/ Observation from teachers and staff from Disneyland	Hong Kong Disneyland	

Aberdeen Land-Sea Guided Tour	0	2	0	100%	11 th March, 2024	\$3,250	Questionnaire/ Observation from teachers and staff from Sky100	Sky100, Hong Kong Observation Deck	
Total no. of activities:_____									
@No. of man-times	18	43	7		Total Expenses	\$61,479.8			
**Total no. of man-times	68								

Note:

* Types of activities are categorised as follows: tutorial services, learning skill training, languages training, visits, art and cultural activities, sports, self-confidence development, volunteer services, adventure activities, leadership training, and communication skills training courses.

@ Man-times: refers to the aggregate no. of benefitted students participating in each activity listed above.

** Total no. of man-times: the aggregate of man-times (A) + (B) + (C)

Eligible students: students in receipt of CSSA (A), full grant under the SFA Schemes (B) and needy students identified by the school under the discretionary quota (not more than 25%) (C).

C. Project Effectiveness

In general, how would you rate the achievements of the activities conducted to the benefitted eligible students?

Please put a “✓” against the most appropriate box.	Improved			No Change	Declining	Not Applicable
	Significant	Moderate	Slight			
Learning Effectiveness						
a) Students’ motivation for learning		✓				
b) Students’ study skills		✓				
c) Students’ academic achievement			✓			
d) Students’ learning experience outside classroom	✓					
e) Your overall view on students’ learning effectiveness		✓				
Personal and Social Development						
f) Students’ self-esteem		✓				
g) Students’ self-management skills		✓				
h) Students’ social skills		✓				
i) Students’ interpersonal skills		✓				
j) Students’ cooperativeness with others		✓				
k) Students’ attitudes toward schooling		✓				
l) Students’ outlook on life		✓				
m) Your overall view on students’ personal and social development		✓				
Community Involvement						
n) Students’ participation in extracurricular and voluntary activities	✓					
o) Students’ sense of belonging			✓			
p) Students’ understanding on the community			✓			
q) Your overall view on students’ community involvement		✓				

D. Comments on the project conducted

Problems/difficulties encountered when implementing the project

(You may tick more than one box.)

- ☐ unable to identify the eligible students (i.e. students receiving CSSA and full grant under the SFA Schemes);
- ☐ difficult to select suitable non-eligible students to fill the discretionary quota;
- ☐ eligible students unwilling to join the programmes (Please specify the reason(s) :_____);
- ☐ the quality of service provided by partner/service provider not satisfactory;
- ☐ tutors inexperienced and student management skills unsatisfactory;
- ☐ the amount of administrative work leads to apparent increase on teachers' workload;
- ☐ complicated to fulfill the requirements for handling funds disbursed by EDB;
- ☐ the reporting requirements too complicated and time-consuming; Others
- ☐ (Please specify):_It would be better to lengthen the application period.

E. Do you have any feedback from students and their parents? Are they satisfied with the service provided? (optional)
